CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name: LUL #3073363 for the installation of a road approach off the Galata Road

by the Montana Department of Transportation.

Proposed

Implementation Date: Fall 2018

Proponent: Montana Department of Transportation, 2701 Prospect Avenue, PO Box 201001,

Helena, MT 59620

Location: See below list of tracts.

County: Toole

Trust: Capitol Schools (CS)

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

Montana Department of Transportation has requested LUL #3073363 to reconstruct portions of the Galata Highway. The proposed project will include signs, pavement, markings, and drainage features along the route. The fundamental purpose of the project is to bring the highway into current federal design standards and improve safety and drivability for the traveling public. The proposed project will include the installation of an approach off the Galata Highway onto state land. The LUL acreages are listed in the table below.

Township	Range	Section	Project Location and Type	Acres Affected	Trust	County
33N	3E	16	NE4SE4, SE4NE4, (LUL	0.06	CS	Toole
			#3073363)			
TOTALS			LUL #3073363	0.06	CS	Toole

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

Montana Department of Transportation-Proponent DNRC-Surface Owner Leck Joint Venture-Surface Lessee, Lease #8329

2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

DNRC is not aware of any other agencies with jurisdiction or other permits needed to complete this project.

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Alternative A (No Action) – Deny LUL #3073363 for the installation of a road approach off the Galata Road by the Montana Department of Transportation.

Alternative B (the Proposed action) – Grant LUL #3073363 for the installation of a road approach off the Galata Road by the Montana Department of Transportation.

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.

Soils at the proposed project sites are silty, sandy, and clayey in texture. The topography is gently rolling, and the soils and slopes are generally suitable for the installation of the road approach off the Galata Highway. Equipment will cause localized areas of soil compaction and will disturb the soil were the road is reconstructed. Reclamation and reseeding will be completed by Montana Department of Transportation. Cumulative impacts on soil resources are expected and will be mitigated by Montana Department of Transportation's construction plan.

5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

There are no water rights associated with the tract in the proposed project area. Other water quality and/or quantity issues will not be impacted by the proposed action.

6. AIR QUALITY:

What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

The proposed action will not impact the air quality.

7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

Vegetation will be impacted as 0.60 acres of land is disturbed for the installation of the road approach off the Galata Highway. The vegetation consists primarily of introduced grass species. Noxious and annual weeds within the proposed construction areas are a concern, but this concern will be mitigated as the applicant is responsible for controlling weeds within the construction areas. Cumulative impacts on the vegetative resources are not expected as the proposed construction areas will be reclaimed and reseeded.

A review of Natural Heritage data through the NRIS was conducted for T33N, R3E: There were no plant species of concern noted or potential species of concern noted on the NRIS survey.

8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

The area is not considered critical wildlife habitat. However, these tracts provide habitat for a variety of big game species (mule deer, whitetail deer, and pronghorn antelope), predators (coyote, fox, and badger), upland game birds (sharp tail grouse, Hungarian partridge), other non-game mammals, raptors and various songbirds. The proposal does not include any land use change which would yield changes to the wildlife habitat. The proposed action will not impact wildlife forage, cover, or traveling corridors. Nor will this action change the juxtaposition of wildlife forage, water, or hiding and thermal cover. Wildlife usage is expected to return to "normal" (pre-action usage) following the installation of the buried fiber optic cable. The proposed action will not have long-term negative effects on existing wildlife species and/or wildlife habitat.

2

9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

There are no threatened or endangered species, sensitive habitat types, or other species of special concern associated with the proposed project area.

A review of Natural Heritage data through the NRIS was conducted for T33N, R3E. There were two animal species of concern, zero potential species of concern, and zero special status species noted on the NRIS survey: Birds-Chestnut-collared Longspur and McCown's Longspur. This tract of ag and grazing land does not contain many, if any of these species. Threatened or endangered species, sensitive habitat types, or other species of special concern or potential species of concern will not be impacted by the installation of the approach off the Galata Highway.

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

There are no cultural resource concerns with the proposed development. The area of potential effect was previously disturbed with road construction work. The development falls under a programmatic categorical exclusion between the MDOT and the MT SHPO. No cultural resources will be affected, and no further archaeological investigative work will be conducted.

11. AESTHETICS:

Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

Reconstruction of the existing Galata Road will not change the aesthetics as there is already an existing roadway on this tract.

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

The demand on environmental resources such as land, water, air, or energy will not be affected by the proposed action. The proposed action will not consume resources that are limited in the area. There are no other projects in the area that will affect the proposed project.

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

A Categorical Exclusion (CE) Documentation for the Galata Road reconstruction project was completed by Montana DOT in August of 2017.

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

The proposed project will increase human safety in the area by adding signs, pavement, markings, and drainage features along the route.

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

The results of this project will add to the industrial, commercial, or agricultural activities or production in the area as it will provide a safer highway to transport goods.

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market

The proposed action is of a large scale and will create various jobs during the construction process. Cumulative impacts are not likely to occur as no long-term employment will be created by the reconstruction project.

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.

The proposed action will add to the tax revenue.

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:

Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services

Substantial traffic will be added to the existing roads during the construction process. This problem will be mitigated because when the construction is finished, the traffic will return to normal levels. There will be no excessive stress placed on the existing infrastructure of the area after the construction process is completed.

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:

List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.

The proposed action follows State and County laws. No other management plans are in effect for the area.

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:

Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.

This proposed project is next to an existing roadway and generally has low recreational value. This tract is legally accessible, and the proposed action is not expected to impact general recreational and wilderness activities on this state tract.

21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:

Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing

The proposal does not include any changes to housing or developments.

No direct or cumulative effects to population or housing are anticipated.

22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:

Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.

There are no native, unique or traditional lifestyles or communities in the vicinity that would be impacted by the proposal.

23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:

How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?

The proposed action will not impact the cultural uniqueness or diversity of the area.

24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:

Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.

This project will also benefit the common school trust in terms of the \$25.00 fee generated from the LUL application for a total of \$25.00. The LUL #3073363 on the Common Schools trust land in Section 16, T33N, R3E will affect 0.06 acres and the minimum payment of \$300.00 will be the revenue generated from the future LUL.

Cumulative impacts are not likely as the area is only used for agricultural and installation of the new road approach off the Galata Road will positively affect the long-term viability of agriculture on this tract.

EA Checklist	Name:	Tony Nickol Date: Sep		September 19, 2018	
Prepared By:	Title:	Land Use Specialist, Conrad Unit, Central Land Office			
	-				

V. FINDINGS							
25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED:							
Alternative B (the Proposed action) – Grant LUL #3073363 for the installation of a road approach off the Galata Road by the Montana Department of Transportation.							
26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS: No significant impacts are expected.							
27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:							
EIS		More Detailed EA X No Further Analysis					
EA Checklist	Name:	Erik Eneboe					
Approved By:	Title:	Conrad Unit Manger, CLO, DNRC					
Signature:	46	Date: September 20, 2018					

